

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRS	T NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/756,896	5 01/09/0	u MACIAS		J	99-1101P-A
-					EXAMINER
LABOUL MAGA	1. 2	@M02	2/0521		
LARRY MASC	JN LEE			JIAN	G.C
4408 SPICE	E WOOD SPRI	NGS RD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN TX	78759				7
				3744	
				DATE MAILED:	
					05/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

7 9 7		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Office Action Summary	09/756,896	MACIAS ET AL.				
	Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chen-Wen Jiang	3744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u>	<u>anuary 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	/ _ 						
11)							
12)							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)⊠ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
A44	*/~)		•				
Attachmen	τ(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🗍 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
16) Not	ice of References Cited (F10-632) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) imation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s) 2	19) Notice of Information	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/756,896

Art Unit: 3744

Ï

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the 1. original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 21-31 have been renumbered to claims 1-11.

- Renumbered claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper 2. dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Renumbered claim 6 is dependent on renumbered claim 3. However, renumbered claim 6 has the same limitation as renumbered claim
- 3. Examiner assumes the renumbered claim 6 is dependent on the renumbered claim 5.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 09/756,896

Art Unit: 3744

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 4. Renumbered claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,196,003. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a computer enclosure is a further limitation of an enclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7764 for regular communications and (703) 308-7764 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648.

Chen-Wen Jiang